

could have well been arrested in light of Mr Mathiyalagan being able to evidence the incident by way of the audio recordings.

15. The recent incidents and death threats are extremely serious and I have concerns that the Defendant may escalate his actions further. Mr Mathiyalagan and his family do not feel safe anymore and on that basis, it would be of great assistance if the Claim and injunction order be reinstated as soon as possible.

- **Declaration from the Court that the injunction order has been effective throughout the period of 13th December 2017 to present**

16. The interim injunction order was discharged purely because of a technicality, however had the Court realised that the Claimant had filed the questionnaire on time, it would not have struck out the Claim.

17. The Court should note that the Defendant started to act anti-socially again, soon after he was notified by the Court that the interim order has been discharged. Unfortunately, because the Claim was struck out the Claimant and the police are currently not able to take any actions against the Defendant. It is on that basis that we would like to ask the Court for a declaration that that the interim injunction order has been effective since 13th December 2017 and that the Defendant has been in breach of the interim injunction order dated 09th August 2017.

18. However, in the event that the Court cannot make such a declaration, we would ask the Court to make a new injunction order to cover the recent incidents dated 02nd and 3rd January 2018 and enclose a new claim for an injunction for the Court's consideration.